



Corres. and Mail  
**BOX AF**

*ZW*  
*AF*

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116  
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE  
GROUP 2672  
**PATENT APPLICATION**

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re application of

Docket No: Q64483

Masaaki NISHINO

Appln. No.: 09/853,634

Group Art Unit: 2672

Confirmation No.: 7274

Examiner: Javid A. Amini

Filed: March 14, 2001

For: INFORMATION DISPLAYING SYSTEM

**RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116**

**MAIL STOP AF**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Advisory Action dated July 12, 2005, please consider the remarks as submitted herewith on the accompanying pages.

**REMARKS**

In the Advisory Action dated July 12, 2005, the Examiner indicated that the affidavit filed after the Final Office Action of January 24, 2005 was not entered because Applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit is necessary and was not earlier presented. In response, Applicant notes the Examiner set forth new grounds of rejection in the Final Office Action of January 24, 2005 in which claims 1-8 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable in view of Herbert (U.S. Patent No. 6,014,125)